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Abstract. — In the present paper a generalization of the Erlang's B formula is proposed, for a loss system in which arriving calls follow a Poisson process. The holding time of each call follows a negative exponential distribution.

The application of the results obtained can be used for the improvement of the grade of service, the efficient use of the existing equipment and the appropriate tariff policy and technical planning of the networks.

To evaluate the parameters included in the proposed generalized Erlang's B formula real telex-data taken from the Salonica local area were used.
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Résumé. — Dans cet article on propose une généralisation de la formule B de Erlang qui se réfère à un système avec pertes. Des appels arrivent à une centrale téléphonique suivant un processus de Poisson. La durée de chaque appel suit une distribution exponentielle.

Les résultats obtenus peuvent être appliqués pour améliorer le niveau du service, pour l'utilisation efficace de l'équipement existant et pour la tarification et le plan des réseaux téléphoniques.

Pour l'évaluation des paramètres inclus dans la formule générale B de Erlang on a employé des données de telex de la centrale de Salonique.

Mots clés : Formule de Erlang; Trafic de Telex; Donnés de Telex; Probabilité de perte; Processus de Poisson.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper an attempt is made towards the evaluation, analysis and optimization of the telephone and telex exchanges. For this purpose, real data were used taken from the nodal exchanges of the ESS-SPC technique greek national telex network described in [1].

The time epochs the calls started and the holding time of each call were recorded. They showed that the moments of arrival formed a Poisson process.
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Attempts to prove that the holding times followed a negative exponential distribution were unsuccessful. Further analysis of the data showed that two different kinds of subscribers could be considered. Subscribers coming mostly from the public sector with excessively long duration of holding times, and subscribers with short duration of holding times of the private sector.

Both the above two categories of subscribers followed satisfactorily an exponential distribution with mean $1/\mu_1$ and $1/\mu_2$ correspondingly, where $\mu_1 < \mu_2$. The stationary state probabilities were evaluated by a new stochastic model and proved to follow a generalized form of the Erlang B formula.

2. STOCHASTIC MODEL

The queueing system considered here is defined as follows:

(i) Telex calls $c_i$, $i = 1(1)n$, arrive at a telex exchange at the instants $t_i$, $i = 1(1)n$, in accord with a Poisson process of density $\lambda$. The interarrival times $U_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$, $i = 1(1)n$ are independent, non-negative random variables with common distribution function,

$$F(x) = P(U \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

(ii) The holding times of the calls $V_i$, $i = 1(1)n$ are independent non-negative random variables. Then, if the index $j$ denotes the category of the call ($j = 1$ for calls of long and $j = 2$ of short duration) the distribution $H_j(x)$ of $V_i$ and the average holding time $\bar{E}_j$ are given by

$${H_j(x)} = P(V_i \leq x) = 1 - e^{\mu_j x}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

and

$$\bar{E}_j(V_i) = 1/\mu_j \quad \mu_1 < \mu_2$$

(iii) An arriving call with probability $a$ is of long duration and $1 - a$ of short duration.

(iv) The holding times are independently distributed of the interarrival times.

(v) There are $N$ available lines and every call occupies one line. If a call arrives to find all the lines busy, it leaves never to return and we say that it is a "loss" to the system.

Under the above assumptions we can evaluate the stationary state probabilities $P(k_1, k_2, t)$, that an arriving call at time $t$ finds $k_j$, $j = 1, 2$ calls of each kind respectively in process. Here $k_1 + k_2 \leq N$, and $P(k_1, k_2, t)$ provides the loss probabilities when equality holds.
3. STATE PROBABILITIES

In this section the state probabilities \( P(k_1, k_2, t) \) are evaluated. For this the transition probabilities \( P(k_1, k_2, t + dt \mid m_1, m_2, t) \) are defined. These are the probabilities that at time \( t + dt \) there are \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) calls of each kind respectively, given that at time \( t \) there were \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) calls in process. Then, the only non-zero transition probabilities are:

\[
\begin{align*}
P(k_1 + 1, k_2, t + dt \mid k_1, k_2, t) &= a \lambda dt + O(dt) \\
P(k_1, k_2 + 1, t + dt \mid k_1, k_2, t) &= (1 - a) \lambda dt + O(dt) \\
0 &< \lambda < N.
\end{align*}
\]

After some manipulation of the state probabilities at time \( t + dt \) with those at time \( t \) and the use of the transition probabilities it is derived the set of differential equations:

\[
P'(k_1, k_2, t) = a \lambda P(k_1 - 1, k_2, t) + (1 - a) \lambda P(k_1, k_2 - 1, t) - (\lambda + k_1 \mu_1 + k_2 \mu_2) P(k_1, k_2, t) + (k_1 + 1) \mu_1 P(k_1 + 1, k_2, t) + (k_2 + 1) \mu_2 P(k_1, k_2 + 1, t). \tag{1}
\]

The solution of the equations (1) when the system works under statistical equilibrium conditions (independently of \( t \)), is given by

\[
P(k_1, k_2) = \frac{(a \lambda / \mu_1)^{k_1} 1/k_1! [(1-a) \lambda / \mu_2]^{k_2} 1/k_2!}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{N} 1/\nu! [(a \lambda / \mu_1) + ((1-a) \lambda / \mu_2)]^\nu}. \tag{2}
\]

Hence, the probability \( \Pi_c \) that \( c \) lines are busy with calls of either kind is given by,

\[
\Pi_c = \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = c} P(k_1, k_2) = \frac{[a \lambda / \mu_1 + (1-a) \lambda / \mu_2]^c 1/c!}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{N} [(a \lambda / \mu_1) + ((1-a) \lambda / \mu_2)]^\nu 1/\nu!} \tag{3}
\]

where \( 0 \leq c \leq N \).
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Equation (3) is of the form of the well known Erlang’s Loss formula [2]. It is noted that, when a number $c$ of calls of both kinds is in process, the most probable number of calls $k_0$ of the first kind is calculated from

$$P(k_0, c-k_0) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq c} P(k, c-k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

which provides one or two consecutive integer values for $k_0$, namely

$$\frac{ac \mu_2 - (1-a) \mu_1}{a \mu_2 + (1-a) \mu_1} \leq k_0 \leq \frac{a \mu_2 (c+1)}{a \mu_2 + (1-a) \mu_1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The above results can be further generalized if the arriving calls are of $n$ different types instead of two. If this is the case i.e.,

- there are $n$ types of exponentially distributed holding times

$$H_j(x) = 1 - e^{-\mu_j x}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad j = 1 (1) n$$

and

- a proportion $a_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) of calls of $i$-th type arrive at any period of time, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1$.

then, the steady state probability that at any time epoch $t$ there are $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$ calls in process, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \leq N$, is given by

$$P(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i \lambda_i / \mu_i)^{k_i} 1/k_i!}{\sum_{v=0}^{N} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \lambda_i / \mu_i \right]^v 1/v!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and the probability $\Pi_c$ that $c$ lines are busy with calls of any kind, is given by,

$$\Pi_c = \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \lambda_i / \mu_i \right]^c 1/c!}{\sum_{v=0}^{N} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \lambda_i / \mu_i \right]^v 1/v!}, \quad 0 \leq c \leq N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section the behaviour of $P(k_1, k_2)$ is examined when
- calls arrive in accord with a Poisson process of density $\lambda$,
- the holding times of the calls are exponentially distributed,
- a proportion "$a$" of the calls have average holding time $1/\mu_1$ and the remaining $1/\mu_2$, $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ and $R = \mu_2/\mu_1$ and,
- there is a number $N$ of available lines, for different values of the parameters, $\lambda/\mu_1$, $R$, $N$ and $a$.

As an example it is considered the case where

$$N = 5, \quad R = 5, \quad \frac{\lambda}{\mu_1} = 888.5, \quad k_1 + k_2 = 5,$$

and $a = 0.01 \ (0.01) \ 0.99$ (see Fig.).

The importance of the formulae (3) and (7), as expressions of the behaviour of the Erlang's Loss Probability function lies on the fact that the variety of subscribers, with different holding times, necessitates the use of such more general formulae. This variety is well represented by the real data taken...
during the busy hour of a working day in the local area of Salonica. Salonica was selected since it represents an industrialy developed area of Greece. It has 2000 telex subscribers covering the whole spectrum of the economic activities and is considered to be the centre of social and financial life of northern Greece.

It is found that during the busy hour the average interarrival time between two consecutive telex calls was 1.3 sec. The results show that there are also great discrepancies among the average holding times of different types of subscribers such as those of the following Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of calls</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Average holding time (Secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long duration</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>259.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Enterprises</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>268.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>242.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short duration</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>128.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>167.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>144.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Electricity Board</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>132.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Subscribers</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>121.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data of the Table can be used for an evaluation of the parameters of formulae (2) to (7).

5. CONCLUSIONS

From the analysis of section 3 follows that the loss probability, i.e. the probability that an arriving call finds all the lines busy and leaves the system never to return, is given by equation (2). For $c = N$ this equation is of the form of the Erlang B formula and, as such, allows the use of all the previous analyses, tables, curves, iterative relations etc.

Formula (3) can be used for the evaluation of the number $N_0$ of channels for which $\Pi_{N_0} < p_0$, where $p_0$ is a given probability representing the proportion of lost traffic. Finally, if to the above results will be added that formulae (2) to (7) hold good when the holding times follow practically the two different distributions shown in Table, then it can safely stated that these formulae provide a good guide to the PTT services for an appropriate invoice policy.
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