HERMITE–HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITY FOR $(E, F)$-CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND GEODESIC $(E, F)$-CONVEX FUNCTIONS
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Abstract. The main aim of the present paper is to introduce geodesic $(E, F)$-convex sets and geodesic $(E, F)$-functions on a Riemannian manifold. Furthermore, some basic properties of these mappings are investigated. Moreover, the Hadamard-type inequalities for $(E, F)$-convex functions are proven.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A20, 52A41, 53C20, 53C22.

Received July 1, 2022. Accepted October 16, 2022.

1. Introduction

Convex optimization has an increasing impact on many areas of mathematics, practical applications, and applied sciences. The idea of convexity has been developed and generalized in numerous directions due to its uses and significance, see [1, 10, 19, 20]. $(E, F)$-convexity of sets and functions was introduced in 1999 [22].

Many other researchers are studied further, improved, generalized, and extended $E$-conexity such as $E$-convex hull, $E$-convex cone, $E$-affine sets, semi semi $E$-convex For more results on $E$-convexity see e.g., [1,3,9,10,17,20]. Also, $E$-convex sets and functions are extended to another class called $(E, F)$-convex sets and $(E, F)$-convex functions [5,6].

The geodesic convexity was introduced in [11, 21]. Moreover, geodesic $E$-convex sets and geodesic $E$-convex functions were introduced on Riemannian manifolds in [4].

2. Notations and preliminaries

In this section, some definitions and known results of convex, $E$-convex and $(E, F)$-functions in real numbers sets are presented. Also, geodesic convex, geodesic $E$-convex functions and some results about Riemannian manifolds, which will be used throughout the paper, are given.

Definition 2.1. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, then $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called convex if

$$f(t\omega_1 + (1-t)\omega_2) \leq tf(\omega_1) + (1-t)f(\omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, \quad t \in [0,1]. \quad (2.1)$$
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Definition 2.2. A function $E : [\omega_1, \omega_2] \rightarrow [\omega_1, \omega_2]$ where $[\omega_1, \omega_2] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : [\omega_1, \omega_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an $E$-convex function if

$$f(tE(\mu_1) + (1-t)E(\mu_2)) \leq tf(E(\mu_1)) + (1-t)f(E(\mu_2)), \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [\omega_1, \omega_2], t \in [0, 1],$$

for more results on this kind of function, see [14, 22].

Definition 2.3 ([5]). $U$ is called $(E, F)$-convex set if

$$tE(\omega_1) + (1-t)F(\omega_2) \in U, \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.4. A function $f$ is called $(E, F)$-convex function if $U$ is $(E, F)$-convex set and

$$f(tE(\omega_1) + (1-t)F(\omega_2)) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1-t)f(F(\omega_2)),$$

$\forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we replace the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a Riemannian manifold $N$. Assume that $(N, f)$ is a complete $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian connection $\nabla$. Given a piecewise $C^1$ path $\gamma : [\omega_1, \omega_2] \rightarrow N$ joining $\chi_1$ to $\chi_2$, that is, $\gamma(\omega_1) = \chi_2$ and $\gamma(\omega_2) = \chi_1$, the length of $\gamma$ is defined by

$$L(\gamma) = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \|\gamma'(\lambda)\| d\lambda.$$

For any two points $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in N$, we define

$$d(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \inf\{L(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a piecewise } C^1 \text{ path joining } \chi_1 \text{ to } \chi_2\}.$$

Then $d$ is a metric which induces the original topology on $N$.

Every Riemannian manifold there is a unique determined Riemannian connection, called a Levi-Civita connection, denoted by $\nabla_{A_1, A_2}$, for any vector fields $A_1, A_2 \in N$. Also, a smooth path $\gamma$ is a geodesic if and only if its tangent vector is a parallel vector field along the path $\gamma$, i.e., $\gamma$ satisfies the equation $\nabla \gamma = 0$. Any path $\gamma$ joining $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ in $N$ such that $L(\gamma) = d(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a geodesic and is called a minimal geodesic. Finally, let $N$ as a $C^\infty$ complete $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric $g$ and Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$. Moreover, considering that the points $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in N$ and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ is a geodesic joining $\omega_1, \omega_2$, i.e., $\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(0) = \omega_2$ and $\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(1) = \omega_1$.

Definition 2.5 ([21]). A set $U$ is totally convex if $U$ contains every geodesic $\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}$ of $N$ whose end points $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are in $U$.

Definition 2.6 ([21]). A subset $U \subseteq N$ is called totally convex if and only if $U$ contains every geodesic $\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}$ of $N$ whose endpoints $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are in $U$.

Definition 2.7 ([21]). A function $f : U \subset N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called geodesic convex if and only if for all geodesic arcs $\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}$, then

$$f(\gamma_{\omega_1, \omega_2}(t)) \leq tf(\omega_1) + (1-t)f(\omega_2)$$

for each $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

The notion of a geodesic $E$-convex function on a complete Riemannian manifold has been discussed in [4, 8, 13, 14, 16].

Definition 2.8 ([4]). A set $U \subset N$ is geodesic $E$-convex where $E : N \rightarrow N$, if there exists a unique geodesic $\gamma_{E(\omega_1), E(\omega_2)}(t)$ of length $d(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ which belong to $U$ for every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.9 ([4]). A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called geodesic $E$-convex if $U$ is geodesic $E$-convex set and

$$f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1), E(\omega_2)}(t)) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1-t)f(E(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1].$$

The next section is devoted to the study of some properties of $(E, F)$-convex functions like Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities. In Section 4, the concepts of geodesic $(E, F)$-convex set and geodesic $(E, F)$-convex function on $N$ are introduced. Also, some properties of the geodesic $(E, F)$-convex function are given.
3. SOME PROPERTIES OF \((E, F)\)-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

The Hadamard-type inequality for \(E\)-convex given in [15] is as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that \(E : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a continuous increasing function and assume that \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in J\) with \(\omega_1 < \omega_2\). Assume that \(f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is an \((E, F)\)-convex function on \([\omega_1, \omega_2]\), then

\[
f \left( \frac{E(\omega_1) + E(\omega_2)}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{F(\omega_2) - E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f(t) \, dt \leq \frac{f(E(\omega_1)) + f(E(\omega_2))}{2}.
\]

Publications [2, 7, 12, 18, 23] are recommended for readers interested in generalizations of the Hadamard-type inequality.

Now, we present the Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for \((E, F)\)-convex as follows:

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that \(E, F : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) are continuous increasing functions and assume that \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in J\) with \(\omega_1 < \omega_2\). Assume that \(f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is an \((E, F)\)-convex function on \([\omega_1, \omega_2]\), then

\[
f \left( \frac{E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{F(\omega_2) - E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f(x) \, dx \leq \frac{f(E(\omega_1)) + f(F(\omega_2))}{2}. \tag{3.1}
\]

**Proof.** Since \(f\) is \((E, F)\)-convex function, then

\[
f(tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.2}
\]

Put \(t = \frac{1}{2}\), then

\[
f \left( \frac{E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)}{2} \right) = f \left( \frac{tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)}{2} + \frac{(1 - t)E(\omega_1) + tF(\omega_2)}{2} \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)) + f((1 - t)E(\omega_1) + tF(\omega_2)) \right]. \tag{3.3}
\]

Integrating both sides of (3.3) with respect to \(t\) over \((0, 1)\), it follows that

\[
f \left( \frac{E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f(tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)) \, dt + \int_0^1 f((1 - t)E(\omega_1) + tF(\omega_2)) \, dt \right].
\]

In the first integral, we put \(x = tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)\) and in the second integral we also put \(x = (1 - t)E(\omega_1) + tF(\omega_2)\), then

\[
f \left( \frac{E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{F(\omega_2) - E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f(x) \, dx. \tag{3.4}
\]

Now, we prove the second inequality of (3.1) by integrating both sides of the inequality (3.2) with respect to \(t\) over \((0, 1)\), then we obtain

\[
\int_0^1 f(tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(E(\omega_1)) + f(F(\omega_2))].
\]

Let \(x = tE(\omega_1) + (1 - t)F(\omega_2)\), then

\[
\frac{1}{F(\omega_2) - E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(E(\omega_1)) + f(F(\omega_2))]. \tag{3.5}
\]

From inequalities (3.4) and (3.5), we get the result. \(\square\)
Theorem 3.3. Assume that $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is $(E, F)$-convex function on $U$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{F(\omega_2) + E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f(x) f(E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2) - x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{6} \left[ f^2(E(\omega_1)) + f^2(F(\omega_2)) \right] + \frac{2}{3} f(E(\omega_1)) f(F(\omega_2)).$$

Proof. Since $f$ is $(E, F)$-convex function, then

$$f(t E(\omega_1) + (1 - t) F(\omega_2)) \leq t f(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t) f(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1] \quad (3.6)$$

$$f((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) \leq (1 - t) f(E(\omega_1)) + t f(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.7)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.6) by (3.7), we have

$$f(t E(\omega_1) + (1 - t) F(\omega_2)) f((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) \leq t^2 f(E(\omega_1)) f(F(\omega_2)) + (1 - t)^2 f(E(\omega_1)) f(F(\omega_2))$$

$$+ t(1 - t) f^2(E(\omega_1)) + t(1 - t) f^2(F(\omega_2))$$

$$= t(1 - t) \left[ f^2(E(\omega_1)) + f^2(F(\omega_2)) \right] + (t^2 + (1 - t)^2) f(E(\omega_1)) f(F(\omega_2)). \quad (3.8)$$

Integration inequality (3.8) with respect to $t$ over $(0, 1)$, then

$$\int_0^1 f(t E(\omega_1) + (1 - t) F(\omega_2)) f((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{6} \left[ f^2(E(\omega_1)) + f^2(F(\omega_2)) \right] + \frac{2}{3} f(E(\omega_1)) f(F(\omega_2)). \quad (3.9)$$

We get the result if we put $x = t E(\omega_1) + (1 - t) F(\omega_2)$. \hfill \Box

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $f_1 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_1 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ are $(E, F)$-convex functions, then the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{3}{F(\omega_2) - E(\omega_1)} \int_{E(\omega_1)}^{F(\omega_2)} f_1(x) f_2(x) \, dx \leq f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(E(\omega_1)) + f_1(F(\omega_2)) f_2(F(\omega_2))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3} \left[ f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(F(\omega_2)) + f_1(F(\omega_2)) f_2(E(\omega_1)) \right].$$

Proof. Since $f_1$ and $f_2$ are $(E, F)$-convex functions, then

$$f_1((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) \leq (1 - t) f_1(E(\omega_1)) + t f_1(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1] \quad (3.10)$$

$$f_2((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) \leq (1 - t) f_2(E(\omega_1)) + t f_2(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.11)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.10) by (3.11), we have

$$f_1((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) f_2((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)) \leq t^2 f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(F(\omega_2)) + (1 - t)^2 f_1(E(\omega_2)) f_2(F(\omega_2))$$

$$+ t(1 - t) [f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(F(\omega_2)) + f_1(F(\omega_2)) f_2(E(\omega_1))]. \quad (3.12)$$

Integration inequality (3.12) with respect to $t$ over $(0, 1)$, then

$$\int_0^1 f_1((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + t F(\omega_2)) f_2((1 - t) E(\omega_1) + F(\omega_2)) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{3} \left[ f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(E(\omega_1)) + f_1(F(\omega_2)) f_2(F(\omega_2)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ f_1(E(\omega_1)) f_2(F(\omega_2)) + f_1(F(\omega_2)) f_2(E(\omega_1)) \right].$$

If we put $x = t E(\omega_1) + (1 - t) F(\omega_2)$, we get the result. \hfill \Box
4. Geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex functions

In this section, we introduce a new geodesic convexity on a Riemannian manifold that is called a geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex function and study some of its properties.

**Definition 4.1.** Assume that \(E,F : N \to N\) are two mappings. A subset \(U \subseteq N\) is called geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex if there exists a unique geodesic \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t)\) of length \(d(\omega_1,\omega_2)\), which belongs to \(U\), \(\forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U\) and \(t \in [0,1]\).

**Proposition 4.2.** If a set \(U\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex set. Then, \(E(U) \subseteq U\) and \(F(U) \subseteq U\).

**Proof.** Since \(U\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex set, then \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \in U, \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U\) and \(t \in [0,1]\). When \(t = 1\), then we have \(E(\omega_1) \in \omega_1\), i.e., \(E(U) \subseteq U\). Also, when \(t = 0\), then we have \(F(\omega_2) \in U\), i.e., \(F(U) \subseteq U\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 4.3.** If \(E(U) \cup F(U)\) is convex and \(E(U) \cup F(U) \subseteq U\), then \(U\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex.

**Proof.** Let \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U\), then \(E(\omega_1), F(\omega_1) \in E(U) \cup F(U)\). Since \(E(U) \cup F(U)\) are convex, then \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \in E(U) \cup F(U) \subseteq U, \forall t \in [0,1]\), that means \(U\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex. \(\square\)

**Example 4.4.** Assume that \(U\) is given as in Figure 1, \(E\) is a mapping from \(U\) to white cat and \(F\) is a mapping from \(U\) to black cat. Then \(U\) is neither geodesic \(E\)-convex nor geodesic \(F\)-convex, since there is \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U\) where \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \notin U\), also \(\gamma_{F(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \notin U\), on the other hand \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \in U\), \(\forall \omega_1,\omega_2 \in U\) which gives that \(U\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex.

**Theorem 4.5.** If \((U_i)_{i \in I}\) is an arbitrary collection of geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex subsets of \(N\) with respect to \(E : N \to N\) and \(F : N \to N\), then their intersection \(\cap_{i \in I} U_i\) is a geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex subset of \(N\).

**Proof.** Assume that \((U_i)_{i \in I}\) is a collection of geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex. If \(\cap_{i \in I} U_i = \emptyset\), then the result is obvious. Now, let \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \cap_{i \in I} U_i\), then \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U_i, \forall i\). Hence, \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \in U_i, \forall i, t \in [0,1]\), which implies that \(\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\omega_2)}(t) \in \cap_{i \in I} U_i, t \in [0,1]\). \(\square\)

**Remark 4.6.** The above theorem is not true in general for the union of geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex subsets of \(N\).

**Lemma 4.7.** Assume that \(U \subseteq N\) is geodesic \((E_1,F_1)\)-convex and geodesic \((E_1,F_2)\)-convex set. Then \(U\) is geodesic \((E_1 \circ E_2,F_1 \circ F_2)\)-convex set.

**Proof.** Consider \(U\) is geodesic \((E_1,F_1)\)-convex and geodesic \((E_1,F_2)\)-convex subset of \(N\), and \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in U\). Assume, on the contrary, that there is \(t \in [0,1]\) such that \(\gamma_{E_1 \circ E_2,F_1 \circ F_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2)(t) \notin U\). Put \(\rho_1 = E_2(\omega_1), \rho_2 = F_2(\omega_2)\), then by Proposition 4.2, we have \(\rho_1, \rho_2 \in U\), that is \(\gamma_{E_1(\rho_1),F_1(\rho_2)}(t) \in U\) which contradicts the assumption. Hence, \(U\) is geodesic \((E_1 \circ E_2,F_1 \circ F_2)\)-convex set. \(\square\)

**Definition 4.8.** Assume that \(U \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq N \times \mathbb{R}, E,F : N \to N\) and \(E^*,F^* : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\). The set \(U \times \mathbb{R}\) is called geodesic \((E,F)\times (E^*,F^*)\)-convex, if

\[ (\gamma_{E(\omega_1),F(\tau_1)}(t),tE^*(\omega_2) + (1-t)F^*(\tau_2)) \in U \times \mathbb{R} \]

\[ \forall (\omega_1, \omega_2), (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in U \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t \in [0,1]. \]

A characterization between geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex of \(U \subseteq N\) and \(U \times \mathbb{R}\) is given in the next proposition.

**Proposition 4.9.** \(A\) is geodesic \((E,F)\)-convex iff \(U \times \mathbb{R}\) is geodesic \((E,F)\times (E^*,F^*)\)-convex
Proof. For all \( \omega_1, \tau_1 \in U, \omega_2, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t \in [0,1] \), we have \( \gamma_{E(\omega_1), F(\tau_1)}(t) \in U \) and \( tE^*(\omega_2) + (1-t)F^*(\tau_2) \in \mathbb{R} \). Hence,

\[
(\gamma_{E(\omega_1), F(\tau_1)}(t), tE^*(\omega_2) + (1-t)F^*(\tau_2)) \in U \times \mathbb{R},
\]

then \( U \times \mathbb{R} \) is geodesic \((E, F) \times (E^*, F^*)\)-convex. By using the same method, we can obtain other direction. \( \square \)

The following definition is generalized from the definition of \((E, F)\)-convex function which is called a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex subset of a Riemannian manifold.

**Definition 4.10.** Let \( U \subseteq N \) be a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex set. A real-valued function \( f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is called a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function iff

\[
f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1), F(\omega_2)}(t)) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1-t)f(F(\omega_2)), \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.1}
\]

If the inequality above is strict \( \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U, E(\omega_1) \neq F(\omega_2) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), then \( f \) is called strictly geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex.

The following remark shows that some special cases of the geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function.

**Remark 4.11.** (1) If \( N \) is 1-dimension Euclidean space, then \( f \) is called \((E, F)\)-convex function [5].
(2) If \( E = F \), then \( f \) is called geodesic \(E\)-convex function [4].
(3) If \( E = F = I \), then \( f \) is called geodesic convex function [21].

**Example 4.12.** Consider the function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) where

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
2, & \text{if } a \in [0, 2], \\
1, & \text{if otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( E, F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be given as \( E(\omega_1) = 0 \) and \( F(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{2} \). Assume the geodesic \( \gamma \) is defined as

\[
\gamma_{E(\omega_1), F(\omega_2)}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\omega_2 + t(\omega_1 - \omega_2), & \text{if } ab \geq 0, \\
\omega_2 + t(\omega_2 - \omega_1), & \text{if } ab < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( t \in (0, 1) \). Then,

\[
f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1), F(\omega_2)}(t)) \leq f(F(\omega_2) + t(E(\omega_1) - F(\omega_2))),
\]

\( \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R} \), hence \( f \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function.

Next, some properties of geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex functions are given which remain \( U \subseteq N \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex set unless we mention otherwise.

**Theorem 4.13.** If \( f_i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) are geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex functions. Then, \( f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i f_i \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \), \( \forall \eta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \eta_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).
Proof. Since \( f_i \) are geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex functions for all \( i \), then
\[
\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}(t) \leq tf_i(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f_i(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall i, t \in [0, 1],
\]
then
\[
\eta_i f_i(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq t \eta_i f_i(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)\eta_i f_i(F(\omega_2))
\]
or
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i f_i(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i f_i(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i f_i(F(\omega_2)).
\]
That is the result. \(\square\)

Proposition 4.14. Assume that \( f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall i \in I \) is a family of above-bounded and geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \). Then the function \( f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) which is defined as \( f(\omega_1) = \sup_{i \in I} f_i(\omega_1) \) is also geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \).

Proof. For all \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have
\[
f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}(t)) = \sup_{i} f_i(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}(t)) = \sup_{i} (tf_i(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f_i(F(\omega_2))) = t \sup_{i} f_i(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t) \sup_{i} f_i(F(\omega_2)),
\]
Hence, \( f \) is a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function. \(\square\)

Proposition 4.15. Assume that \( f \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \) and \( H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing convex function, then \( H \circ f \) is a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \).

Proof. From the assumption
\[
f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f(F(\omega_2)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]
Now,
\[
(H \circ f)(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq H(t f(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f(F(\omega_2))), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]
Since \( H \) is non-decreasing convex, then
\[
(H \circ f)(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq t(H \circ f)(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)(H \circ f)(F(\omega_2)),
\]
that means \( H \circ f \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \). \(\square\)

Theorem 4.16. If \( f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \), then the level set \( G_{\mu} = \{ \omega : \omega \in U, f(\omega) \leq \mu \} \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex for each \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. Since \( f \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex function on \( U \), for all \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \in U \), we have \( E(\omega_1), F(\omega_2) \in U \)
\[
f(\gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))}) \leq tf(E(\omega_1)) + (1 - t)f(F(\omega_2)) \leq t \mu + (1 - t) \mu = \mu,
\]
this implies that \( \gamma_{E(\omega_1, F(\omega_2))} \subseteq G_{\mu} \) and \( G_{\mu} \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex set. \(\square\)

Corollary 4.17. Assume that \( f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex functions on \( U \), then the set \( G = \{ \omega : \omega \in U, f_i(\omega) \leq 0, \forall i \} \) is geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex.

The proof of this corollary is directly from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.16.
5. Conclusions

In this work, geodesic \((E, F)\)-convex sets and geodesic \((E, F)\)-functions on Riemannian manifold are introduced. Some properties of this type of convexity are established.

References