

A SPECTRAL CONDITION FOR COMPONENT FACTORS IN GRAPHS

SUFANG WANG^{1,*} AND WEI ZHANG²

Abstract. Let G be a graph. A $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor of G is a spanning subgraph of G , in which every component is isomorphic to a member of $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$. In this paper, we establish a lower bound on the spectral radius of G to ensure that G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C50, 05C70, 68M10.

Received October 26, 2023. Accepted June 8, 2024.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we only deal with finite and undirected graphs which possess neither loops nor multiple edges. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ denotes its vertex set and $E(G)$ denotes its edge set. The order of a graph G is the number $n = |V(G)|$ of its vertices. For a vertex subset S of G , we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S , and by $G - S$ the subgraph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S and the edges incident to vertices in S . For two distinct graphs G_1 and G_2 , we denote by $G_1 \vee G_2$ the join of G_1 and G_2 , and by $G_1 \cup G_2$ the union of G_1 and G_2 . For a graph G and an integer $k \geq 2$, we use kG to denote the disjoint union of k copies of G . As usual, a complete graph, a path and a star of order n are denoted by K_n , P_n and $K_{1,n-1}$, respectively. Let r be a real number. Recall that $\lceil r \rceil$ is the smallest integer such that $\lceil r \rceil \geq r$.

Suppose that the vertex set of G is $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of G is a $(0, 1)$ -matrix in which the entry $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if v_i and v_j are adjacent. Note that $A(G)$ is a real nonnegative symmetric matrix. Let $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ be the eigenvalues of $A(G)$. In particular, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(G)$ is called the adjacency spectral radius (or spectral radius, for short) of G .

A subgraph of a graph G is spanning if the subgraph covers all vertices of G . Let \mathcal{H} denote a set of connected graphs. Then an \mathcal{H} -factor of G is a spanning subgraph of G , in which every component is isomorphic to a member of \mathcal{H} . An \mathcal{H} -factor is also referred as a component factor. Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$. A $P_{\geq k}$ -factor of G is its spanning subgraph each of whose components is a path of order at least k . A $\{K_{1,j} : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ -factor is also called a star-factor of G .

In mathematical literature, the study on component factors attracted much attention. Kaneko [4] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph having a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Zhou *et al.* [26] provided some sufficient conditions for graphs to have $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors with given properties. Wang and Zhang [16, 17] obtained some results on the existence of $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors in graphs. Wu [20] showed two degree conditions for graphs to have $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors.

Keywords. Graph, spectral radius, component factor.

¹ School of Public Management, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212100, P.R. China.

² School of Economics and Management, Wenzhou University of Technology, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325000, P.R. China.

*Corresponding author: wangsufangjust@163.com

Zhou *et al.* [23, 24, 27, 30, 31] claimed some sufficient conditions for graphs to contain $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors. Amahashi and Kano [1], and Las Vergnas [7] independently presented a characterization for a graph with a $\{K_{1,j} : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ -factor, where $k \geq 2$ is an integer. Kano *et al.* [6] verified that a graph G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor if $i(G - S) \leq \frac{|S|}{2}$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$. Kano and Saito [5] provided a sufficient condition for a graph to contain a $\{K_{1,j} : m \leq j \leq 2m\}$ -factor, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Zhou *et al.* [28, 34] got some results on the existence of star-factors in graphs. Wang and Zhang [18] derived some results on the existence of $\{K_{1,j} : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ -factors in graphs. Some other results on graph factors were found in [11, 19, 22, 29, 32].

Many researchers [3, 10, 13, 15, 25, 33] studied some interesting spectral properties of $A(G)$. Suil [14] obtained a spectral radius condition for a graph to have a $\{K_2\}$ -factor. Li and Miao [9], Zhou *et al.* [35] presented some spectral conditions for the existence of $P_{\geq 2}$ -factors in graphs. Miao and Li [12] showed two spectral conditions for a graph with a $\{K_{1,j} : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ -factor, where $k \geq 2$ is an integer. In this paper, we investigate the existence of a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor in a graph, and put forward a new sufficient condition for a graph to contain a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor by using spectral radius.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected graph of order n .*

- (1) *For $n \geq 3$ and $n \notin \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq \theta(n)$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor unless $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)$, where $\theta(n) = \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1))$ is the largest root of $x^3 - (n-3)x^2 - (n-1)x + n - 3 = 0$.*
- (2) *For $n = 4$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.*
- (3) *For $n = 5$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq 1 + \sqrt{7}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor unless $G = K_3 \vee 2K_1$.*
- (4) *For $n = 6$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq 1 + \sqrt{10}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.*
- (5) *For $n = 7$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{3+\sqrt{57}}{2}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.*
- (6) *For $n = 8$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq 2 + \sqrt{19}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.*
- (7) *For $n = 11$, if $\lambda_1(G) \geq 3 + \sqrt{37}$, then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide some necessary preliminary lemmas, which will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *If G is a connected graph, and H is a proper subgraph of G , then*

$$\lambda_1(G) > \lambda_1(H).$$

Let M be a real matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Assume that M , with respect to the partition $\pi : V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_m$, can be written as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1m} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{m1} & M_{m2} & \cdots & M_{mm} \end{pmatrix},$$

where M_{ij} denotes the submatrix (block) of M formed by rows in V_i and columns in V_j . Let q_{ij} denote the average row sum of M_{ij} , namely, q_{ij} is the sum of all entries in M_{ij} divided by the number of rows. Then matrix $M_\pi = (q_{ij})$ is called the quotient matrix of M . If the row sum of every block M_{ij} is a constant, then the partition is equitable.

Lemma 2.2 ([2, 21]). *Let M be a real matrix with an equitable partition π , and let M_π be the corresponding quotient matrix. Then each eigenvalue of M_π is an eigenvalue of M . Furthermore, if M is nonnegative, then the spectral radii of M and M_π are equal.*

Let $I(G)$ and $i(G)$ denote the set of isolated vertices and the number of isolated vertices of G , respectively. Kano *et al.* [6] provided a sufficient condition for a graph to contain a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.

Lemma 2.3 ([6]). *If a graph G satisfies*

$$i(G - S) \leq \frac{|S|}{2}$$

for any vertex subset S of G , then G contains a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that G contains no $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists some nonempty subset S of $V(G)$ such that

$$i(G - S) \geq \frac{|S| + 1}{2}.$$

By the integrality of $i(G - S)$, we obtain

$$i(G - S) \geq \left\lceil \frac{|S| + 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Let $|S| = s$. Then G is a spanning subgraph of $G_1 = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil K_1)$, where n_1 is a nonnegative integer with $n_1 = n - s - \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$. By means of Lemma 2.1, we conclude

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_1(G_1), \tag{3.1}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G = G_1$. In what follows, we shall consider three cases by the value of n_1 .

Case 1. $n_1 \geq 2$.

In this case, $G_1 = K_s \vee (K_{n-s-\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil} \cup \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil K_1)$ and $n \geq s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 2$. In view of the equitable partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n-s-\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil}) \cup V(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil K_1)$, the quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ equals

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} s - 1 & n - s - \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil & \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil \\ s & n - s - \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil - 1 & 0 \\ s & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we derive its characteristic polynomial as

$$f_{M_1}(x) = x^3 - \left(n - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2 \right) x^2 - \left(n + s \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) x + s \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil \left(n - s - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right).$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say θ_1 , of $f_{M_1}(x) = 0$ satisfies $\theta_1 = \lambda_1(G_1)$. Note that K_{s+2} is a proper subgraph of G_1 . Using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\theta_1 = \lambda_1(G_1) > \lambda_1(K_{s+2}) = s + 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Write $G_2 = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup H)$, where $H = K_1$. Consider the equitable partition $V(G_2) = V(K_1) \cup V(K_{n-2}) \cup V(H)$. Then the quotient matrix of $A(G_2)$ equals

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n - 2 & 1 \\ 1 & n - 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the characteristic polynomial of M is

$$f_M(x) = x^3 - (n-3)x^2 - (n-1)x + n - 3.$$

In terms of Lemma 2.2, the largest root $\theta(n)$ of $f_M(x) = 0$ satisfies $\theta(n) = \lambda_1(G_2)$.

Note that $f_{M_1}(\theta_1) = 0$. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_1) &= f_M(\theta_1) - f_{M_1}(\theta_1) \\ &= - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \theta_1^2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_1 - s \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil \left(n - s - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + n - 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Subcase 1.1. s is odd.

Obviously, $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil = \frac{s+1}{2}$. Combining this with (3.2), (3.3), $s \geq 1$ and $n \geq s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 2 = \frac{3s+5}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_1) &= - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \theta_1^2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_1 - s \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil \left(n - s - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + n - 3 \\ &= \frac{s-1}{2} \left(-\theta_1^2 + (s+1)\theta_1 - s \left(n - \frac{3(s+1)}{2} \right) - 2n + 3s + 6 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{s-1}{2} \left(-\theta_1^2 + (s+1)\theta_1 - s \left(\frac{3s+5}{2} - \frac{3(s+1)}{2} \right) - (3s+5) + 3s + 6 \right) \\ &= \frac{s-1}{2} (-\theta_1^2 + (s+1)\theta_1 - s + 1) \leq \frac{s-1}{2} (-(s+1)\theta_1 + (s+1)\theta_1 - s + 1) \\ &= -\frac{(s-1)^2}{2} \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_1 \leq \theta(n) = \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1))$ with equality if and only if $G_1 = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)$. Combining this with (3.1), we conclude

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1))$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. s is even.

Clearly, $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil = \frac{s+2}{2}$. Together with (3.2), (3.3), $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 2 = \frac{3s+6}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_1) &= - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \theta_1^2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_1 - s \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil \left(n - s - \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + n - 3 \\ &= -\frac{s}{2}\theta_1^2 + \frac{s^2+s-2}{2}\theta_1 - \frac{s^2+2s}{2} \left(n - \frac{3s+4}{2} \right) + n - 3 \\ &< -\frac{s(s+1)}{2}\theta_1 + \frac{s^2+s-2}{2}\theta_1 - \frac{s^2+2s}{2} \left(n - \frac{3s+4}{2} \right) + n - 3 \\ &= -\theta_1 - \frac{s^2+2s}{2} \left(n - \frac{3s+4}{2} \right) + n - 3 \\ &< -(s+1) - \frac{s^2+2s}{2} \left(\frac{3s+6}{2} - \frac{3s+4}{2} \right) + \frac{3s+6}{2} - 3 \\ &= -\frac{s^2+s+2}{2} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_1 < \theta(n) = \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1))$. Together with (3.1), we obtain

$$\lambda_1(G) < \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)),$$

which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_1(K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1))$.

Case 2. $n_1 = 1$.

In this case, $G_1 = K_s \vee (\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1)K_1$ and $n = s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1$. The quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ with respect to the equitable partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1)K_1$ is

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} s-1 & \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1 \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the characteristic polynomial of M_2 is

$$f_{M_2}(x) = x^2 - (s-1)x - s \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \right).$$

According to Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say θ_2 , of $f_{M_2}(x) = 0$ satisfies

$$\theta_2 = \lambda_1(G_1) = \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 4s \left(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1 \right)}}{2}.$$

Note that $f_{M_2}(\theta_2) = 0$ and $n = s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1$. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_2) &= f_M(\theta_2) - \theta_2 f_{M_2}(\theta_2) = -(n-s-2)\theta_2^2 + \left(-n + s \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \right) + 1 \right) \theta_2 + n - 3 \\ &= - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \theta_2^2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_2 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If $s = 1$, then $n = 3$, $\theta_2 = \sqrt{2} = \theta(3)$ and $G_1 = G_2 = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)$. Combining this with (3.1), we conclude $\lambda_1(G) \leq \theta(3)$ with equality if and only if $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-2} \cup K_1)$, a contradiction. If $s = 2$, then $n = 5$ and $\theta_2 = 3$. In terms of (3.4), we possess $f_M(\theta_2) = -\theta_2^2 + 2\theta_2 + 2 = -1 < 0$, which implies $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_2 < \theta(5)$. Together with (3.1), we have $\lambda_1(G) < \theta(5) < 1 + \sqrt{7}$, which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq 1 + \sqrt{7}$. If $s = 3$, then $n = 6$ and $\theta_2 = 1 + \sqrt{10}$. Using (3.1), we get $\lambda_1(G) \leq 1 + \sqrt{10}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_3 \vee 3K_1$. If $G = K_3 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) = 1 + \sqrt{10}$ and G has a $\{K_{1,2}\}$ -factor. Obviously, a $\{K_{1,2}\}$ -factor is also a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. If $G \neq K_3 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) < 1 + \sqrt{10}$, a contradiction. If $s = 4$, then $n = 8$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{3+\sqrt{73}}{2}$. According to (3.4), we possess $f_M(\theta_2) = -\theta_2^2 + 9\theta_2 + 5 = -\frac{45-3\sqrt{73}}{2} < 0$, which yields $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_2 < \theta(8)$. Applying (3.1), we obtain $\lambda_1(G) < \theta(8) < 2 + \sqrt{19}$, which is a contradiction to $\lambda_1(G) \geq 2 + \sqrt{19}$. If $s = 5$, then $n = 9$ and $\theta_2 = 2 + 2\sqrt{6}$. According to (3.4), we derive $f_M(\theta_2) = -2\theta_2^2 + 22\theta_2 + 6 = -26 + 8\sqrt{6} < 0$, which implies $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_2 < \theta$. Combining this with (3.1), we conclude $\lambda_1(G) < \theta$, which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq \theta$. If $s \geq 6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2 &= \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 4s \left(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1 \right)}}{2} \geq \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 4s \left(\frac{s+1}{2} + 1 \right)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{3s^2 + 4s + 1}}{2} > \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{s^2 + 10s + 25}}{2} = s + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_2) &= - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \theta_2^2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_2 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2 \\ &< - \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) (s+2)\theta_2 + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil (s-1)\theta_2 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2 \\ &= - \left(3 \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - s - 2 \right) \theta_2 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2 < - \left(3 \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - s - 2 \right) (s+2) + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2 \\ &= - \left((3s+5) \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - s^2 - 5s - 2 \right) \leq - \left(\frac{(3s+5)(s+1)}{2} - s^2 - 5s - 2 \right) = -\frac{(s-1)^2}{2} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_2 < \theta$. Together with (3.1), we conclude $\lambda_1(G) < \theta$, which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq \theta$.

Case 3. $n_1 = 0$.

In this case, $G_1 = K_s \vee \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil K_1$ and $n = s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$. The quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ with respect to the equitable partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil K_1)$ is

$$M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} s-1 & \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the characteristic polynomial of M_3 equals

$$f_{M_3}(x) = x^2 - (s-1)x - s \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say θ_3 , of $f_{M_3}(x) = 0$ satisfies

$$\theta_3 = \lambda_1(G_1) = \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 4s \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil}}{2}.$$

Notice that $f_{M_3}(\theta_3) = 0$ and $n = s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$. By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\theta_3) &= f_M(\theta_3) - \theta_3 f_{M_3}(\theta_3) = -(n-s-2)\theta_3^2 + \left(-n + s \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil + 1\right)\theta_3 + n - 3 \\ &= -\left(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil - 2\right)\theta_3^2 + \left(\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil - 1\right)(s-1)\theta_3 + s + \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil - 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

If $s = 1$, then $n = 2$, which is a contradiction to $n \geq 3$. If $s = 2$, then $n = 4$ and $\theta_3 = \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$. According to (3.1), we conclude $\lambda_1(G) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_2 \vee 2K_1$. If $G = K_2 \vee 2K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) = \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ and G has a $\{K_{1,3}\}$ -factor. Obviously, a $\{K_{1,3}\}$ -factor is also a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. If $G \neq K_2 \vee 2K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) < \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$, a contradiction. If $s = 3$, then $n = 5$ and $\theta_3 = 1 + \sqrt{7}$. In view of (3.1), we possess $\lambda_1(G) \leq 1 + \sqrt{7}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_3 \vee 2K_1$, a contradiction. If $s = 4$, then $n = 7$ and $\theta_3 = \frac{3+\sqrt{57}}{2}$. By (3.1), we get $\lambda_1(G) \leq \frac{3+\sqrt{57}}{2}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_4 \vee 3K_1$. If $G = K_4 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) = \frac{3+\sqrt{57}}{2}$ and G has a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}\}$ -factor. Obviously, a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}\}$ -factor is also a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. If $G \neq K_4 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) < \frac{3+\sqrt{57}}{2}$, a contradiction. If $s = 5$, then $n = 8$ and $\theta_3 = 2 + \sqrt{19}$. Using (3.1), we conclude $\lambda_1(G) \leq 2 + \sqrt{19}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_5 \vee 3K_1$. If $G = K_5 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) = 2 + \sqrt{19}$ and G has a $\{K_{1,3}\}$ -factor. Obviously, a $\{K_{1,3}\}$ -factor is also a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. If $G \neq K_5 \vee 3K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) < 2 + \sqrt{19}$, a contradiction. If $s = 6$, then $n = 10$ and $\theta_3 = 8$. Combining this with (3.5), we have $f_M(\theta_3) = -2\theta_3^2 + 15\theta_3 + 7 = -1 < 0$, which implies $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_3 < \theta(10)$. According to (3.1), we infer $\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_1(G_1) < \theta(10)$, which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq \theta(10)$. If $s = 7$, then $n = 11$ and $\theta_3 = 3 + \sqrt{37}$. Using (3.1), we obtain $\lambda_1(G) \leq 3 + \sqrt{37}$ with equality if and only if $G = K_7 \vee 4K_1$. If $G = K_7 \vee 4K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) = 3 + \sqrt{37}$ and G has a $\{K_{1,2}, K_5\}$ -factor. Obviously, a $\{K_{1,2}, K_5\}$ -factor is also a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor. If $G \neq K_7 \vee 4K_1$, then $\lambda_1(G) < 3 + \sqrt{37}$, a contradiction. If $s \geq 8$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_3 &= \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 4s \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil}}{2} \geq \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{(s-1)^2 + 2s(s+1)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{s-1 + \sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}{2} > \frac{(\sqrt{3} + 1)s - 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_M(\theta_3) &= -\left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2\right)\theta_3^2 + \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1\right)(s-1)\theta_3 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 3 \\
 &< -\left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2\right) \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{3}+1)s-1}{2}\theta_3 + \left(\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1\right)(s-1)\theta_3 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 3 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\sqrt{3}-1\right)s\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2\sqrt{3}s\right)\theta_3 + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 3 \\
 &< -\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\sqrt{3}-1\right)s\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 2\sqrt{3}s\right) \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{3}+1)s-1}{2} + s + \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 3 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4}\left(2s^2\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - (6+2\sqrt{3})s^2 + 2s\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil + (2\sqrt{3}+4)s + 3\left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil - 12\right) \\
 &\leq -\frac{1}{4}\left(s^2(s+1) - (6+2\sqrt{3})s^2 + s(s+1) + (2\sqrt{3}+4)s + \frac{3(s+1)}{2} - 12\right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{8}\left(2s^3 - (8+4\sqrt{3})s^2 + (4\sqrt{3}+13)s - 21\right) < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lambda_1(G_1) = \theta_3 < \theta(n)$. Combining this with (3.1), we obtain $\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_1(G_1) < \theta(n)$, which contradicts $\lambda_1(G) \geq \theta(n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Amahashi and M. Kano, On factors with given components. *Discrete Math.* **42** (1982) 1–6.
- [2] A. Brouwer and W. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs. Springer, New York (2011).
- [3] M. Fiedler and V. Nikiforov, Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs. *Linear Algebra App.* **432** (2010) 2170–2173.
- [4] A. Kaneko, A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path factor every component of which is a path of length at least two. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **88** (2003) 195–218.
- [5] M. Kano and A. Saito, Star-factors with large component. *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012) 2005–2008.
- [6] M. Kano, H. Lu and Q. Yu, Component factors with large components in graphs. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010) 385–389.
- [7] M. Las Vergnas, An extension of Tutte’s 1-factor theorem. *Discrete Math.* **23** (1978) 241–255.
- [8] Q. Li and K. Feng, On the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *Acta Math. App. Sinica Chin. Ser.* **2** (1979) 167–175.
- [9] S. Li and S. Miao, Characterizing $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graphs with respect to the size or the spectral radius. *Discrete Math.* **344** (2021) 112588.
- [10] M. Liu, H. Lai and K. Das, Spectral results on Hamiltonian problem. *Discrete Math.* **342** (2019) 1718–1730.
- [11] X. Lv, A degree condition for graphs being fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered. *Filomat* **37** (2023) 3315–3320.
- [12] S. Miao and S. Li, Characterizing star factors via the size, the spectral radius or the distance spectral radius of graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **326** (2023) 17–32.
- [13] V. Nikiforov, A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs. *Linear Algebra App.* **428** (2008) 1492–1498.
- [14] O. Suil, Spectral radius and matchings in graphs. *Linear Algebra App.* **614** (2021) 316–324.
- [15] O. Suil, J.R. Park, J. Park and W. Zhang, Sharp spectral bounds for the edge-connectivity of regular graphs. *Eur. J. Comb.* **110** (2023) 103713.
- [16] S. Wang and W. Zhang, Degree conditions for the existence of a $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factor in a graph. *RAIRO-Oper. Res.* **57** (2023) 2231–2237.

- [17] S. Wang and W. Zhang, Independence number, minimum degree and path-factors in graphs. *Proc. Roman. Acad. Ser. A: Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci.* **23** (2022) 229–234.
- [18] S. Wang and W. Zhang, Some results on star-factor deleted graphs. *Filomat* **38** (2024) 1101–1107.
- [19] J. Wu, A sufficient condition for the existence of fractional (g, f, n) -critical covered graphs. *Filomat* **38** (2024) 2177–2183.
- [20] J. Wu, Path-factor critical covered graphs and path-factor uniform graphs. *RAIRO-Oper. Res.* **56** (2022) 4317–4325.
- [21] L. You, M. Yang, W. So and W. Xi, On the spectrum of an equitable quotient matrix and its application. *Linear Algebra App.* **577** (2019) 21–40.
- [22] S. Zhou, A neighborhood union condition for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **323** (2022) 343–348.
- [23] S. Zhou, Path factors and neighborhoods of independent sets in graphs. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **39** (2023) 232–238.
- [24] S. Zhou, Some results on path-factor critical avoidable graphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **43** (2023) 233–244.
- [25] S. Zhou and Y. Zhang, Sufficient conditions for fractional $[a, b]$ -deleted graphs. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* (2024). DOI: [10.1007/s13226-024-00564-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-024-00564-w).
- [26] S. Zhou, Q. Bian and Q. Pan, Path factors in subgraphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **319** (2022) 183–191.
- [27] S. Zhou, J. Wu and Q. Bian, On path-factor critical deleted (or covered) graphs. *Aequationes Math.* **96** (2022) 795–802.
- [28] S. Zhou, Q. Bian and Z. Sun, Two sufficient conditions for component factors in graphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **43** (2023) 761–766.
- [29] S. Zhou, Q. Pan and L. Xu, Isolated toughness for fractional $(2, b, k)$ -critical covered graphs. *Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci.* **24** (2023) 11–18.
- [30] S. Zhou, Z. Sun and Q. Bian, Isolated toughness and path-factor uniform graphs (II). *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **54** (2023) 689–696.
- [31] S. Zhou, Z. Sun and H. Liu, Some sufficient conditions for path-factor uniform graphs. *Aequationes Math.* **97** (2023) 489–500.
- [32] S. Zhou, Z. Sun and H. Liu, \mathcal{D} -index and \mathcal{Q} -index for spanning trees with leaf degree at most k in graphs. *Discrete Math.* **347** (2024) 113927.
- [33] S. Zhou, Z. Sun and H. Liu, Distance signless Laplacian spectral radius for the existence of path-factors in graphs. *Aequationes Math.* **98** (2024) 727–737.
- [34] S. Zhou, Y. Xu and Z. Sun, Some results about star-factors in graphs. *Contributions to Discrete Math.*, accept.
- [35] S. Zhou, Y. Zhang and Z. Sun, The A_α -spectral radius for path-factors in graphs. *Discrete Math.* **347** (2024) 113940.

Please help to maintain this journal in open access!



This journal is currently published in open access under the Subscribe to Open model (S2O). We are thankful to our subscribers and supporters for making it possible to publish this journal in open access in the current year, free of charge for authors and readers.

Check with your library that it subscribes to the journal, or consider making a personal donation to the S2O programme by contacting subscribers@edpsciences.org.

More information, including a list of supporters and financial transparency reports, is available at <https://edpsciences.org/en/subscribe-to-open-s2o>.